

Causing a child to watch a sexual act

a person aged 18 or over

That is not in dispute. The defendant is aged over 18.

for the purpose of sexual gratification

That means in order to obtain sexual pleasure, either then, or at some time in the future. So, if a man shows a child a video as a step towards persuading the child to engage in the same kind of sexual activity with him at a later date, that is done “for the purpose of his sexual gratification”.

intentionally caused a person under the age of 16 years to look at an image of a person engaging in sexual activity

C**’s age is not in dispute. She was under 16.

A video of adults and children performing oral sexual acts on each other is an image of a person engaging in sexual activity.

did not reasonably believe that the girl was aged 16 or over

There is no suggestion that the defendant did not know C**’s true age.

The prosecution case is that ***

The defendant denies this.

So, the key questions for you to answer in relation to Count *, having regard to all the evidence and the directions which I give you, are these

Did the defendant show C** a video of adults and children having oral sex?

Did he do that for the purpose of his sexual gratification?

If you are sure that the answer to both questions is “yes”, the defendant is guilty of Count *. If the answer to either question is “no”, he is not guilty.